Ordinance No. 16-01
Subdivision Regulation Amend. No. 06-03
Concerning: Preliminary Subdivision
Plans - [[Approvals -]] Applicability
of Growth Policy Amendments
Revised: 1-26-07 Draft No. 4
Introduced: December 5, 2006
Public Hearing: January 16, 2007
Adopted: January 30, 2007
Effective: January 30, 2007

## COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PORTION OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President Praisner and Councilmembers Andrews and Elrich

## AN AMENDMENT to the Subdivision Regulations to [[:]]

- [[(1) suspend for a certain period of time the approval of certain preliminary plans of subdivision;]]
- [[(2)]] provide for the applicability of certain growth policy or adequate public facilities requirements to certain subdivisions[[; and]]
- [[(3) generally amend the process for approving and accepting applications for preliminary plans of subdivision and similar Planning Board actions]].

By adding the following sections of County Code Chapter 50:

Section 50-35B, [[Suspension of certain preliminary subdivision plan approvals]] Applicability of future adequate public facilities requirements – temporary provision.

Boldface Heading or defined term.

<u>Underlining</u>
Added to existing law by original bill.

[Single boldface brackets]

Deleted from existing law by original bill.

Double underlining Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

## **OPINION**

Subdivision Regulation Amendment 06-03 was introduced on December 5, 2006 to direct the Planning Board to suspend the approval of certain preliminary subdivision plans for a certain period of time. The delay in the approval of preliminary subdivision plans would allow unapproved plans to be governed by any changes that the Council ultimately makes in the County Growth Policy, scheduled for review later this year under Council Resolution 16-17.

The County Planning Board in its report to the Council recommended that the Council carefully consider alternatives to the proposed subdivision regulation amendment that would address certain specific concerns expressed by Planning Board members.

The Council held a public hearing on January 16, 2007, to receive testimony concerning the proposed amendment. The subdivision regulation amendment was referred to the Council's Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee for review and recommendation.

The Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee held a worksession on January 22, 2007, to review the amendment. The Committee recommended a further amendment that would (1) delete all temporary restrictions on preliminary subdivision plan approvals, and require the Planning Board to conditionally approve certain plans subject to reopening to comply with later-adopted Growth Policy and adequate public facilities requirements; and (2) notify preliminary subdivision plan applicants that certain Growth Policy and other adequate public facilities requirements adopted after January 1, 2007, will apply to plans filed after January 1, 2007, and approved before August 15, 2007.

The District Council reviewed Subdivision Regulation Amendment at a worksession held on January 30, 2007, and agreed with the recommendations of the Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee.

For these reasons and because to approve this amendment will assist in the coordinated, comprehensive, adjusted and systematic development of the Maryland-Washington Regional District located in Montgomery County, Subdivision Regulation Amendment No. 06-03 will be approved as amended.

## ORDINANCE

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that portion of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following Ordinance:

i	sec. 1. Section 50-35B is added as follows:		
2	<u>50-35B.</u>	[[Suspension of certain preliminary subdivision plan approvals]]	
3	<u>Applicabili</u>	<u>ty of future adequate public facilities requirements – temporary</u>	
4	<u>provision</u> .		
5	[ <u>[(a)</u>	Except as provided in subsections (b) and (c), the Planning Board	
6		must not approve or amend any preliminary plan of subdivision while	
7		this Section is in effect.]	
8	<u>(a)</u>	Except as provided in subsections (c) and (d), the Planning Board's	
9		approval or amendment of any preliminary subdivision plan for which	
10		an application was filed after January 1, 2007, must be subject to any	
11	·	County Growth Policy or other adequate public facilities requirement	
12		adopted after the plan was approved if that Policy or requirement	
13		expressly applies to previously-approved plans. In considering any	
14		preliminary subdivision plan for which an application was filed before	
15		January 1, 2007, the Board must apply the County Growth Policy and	
16		other adequate public facilities requirements in effect when the	
17		application was filed.	
18	<u>(b)</u>	The Board must reopen any preliminary subdivision plan approved	
19		between January 1 and August 15, 2007, for which an application was	
20		filed after January 1, 2007, as necessary to assure that all development	
21		permitted under the plan complies with all applicable Growth Policy	
22		and other adequate public facilities requirements.	
23	[[ <u>(b)</u> ]	(c) [[The]] Notwithstanding subsection (b), the Board may approve a	
24		preliminary subdivision plan without reserving the option to reopen	
25		the plan for compliance with later Growth Policy or adequate public	
26		facilities requirements if the proposed [[for a]] subdivision [[that]]:	

<sub>`</sub> 27		<u>(1)</u>	is located entirely in a Metro Station Policy Area, as defined in
28			the County growth policy, or an enterprise zone; [[or]]
29		<u>(2)</u>	would add 5 or fewer peak hour trips, as defined under the
30			County growth policy, for intersections serving that subdivision
31			and is not located in the Poolesville or Goshen Policy Areas; or
32		<u>(3)</u>	is necessary to facilitate timely construction of a significant
33			state or County public facility.
34	[ <u>(c)</u> ]	<u>(d)</u> [	[The]] Notwithstanding subsection (b), the Board may amend a
35		prelir	ninary subdivision plan that the Board approved before [[this
36		Section	on took effect]] January 1, 2007, without reserving the option to
37		reope	en the plan for compliance with later Growth Policy or adequate
38		<u>publi</u>	c facilities requirements, if:
39		<u>(1)</u>	the amendment would not add more than 5 peak hour trips to
40		-	the number previously approved for intersections serving that
41			subdivision; and [[(2)]] the subdivision is not located in the
42			Poolesville or Goshen Policy Areas;
43		<u>(2)</u>	the amendment applies only to the Clarksburg Town Center and
44			results from a mediated settlement agreement that the Board
45			previously approved; or
46		<u>(3)</u>	the amendment is necessary to complete a development in the
47			Life Sciences Center Zone and is required by a previously
48			approved site plan for that development.
49	[[ <u>(d)</u>	Any	subdivision for which an application for a preliminary
50		subd	ivision plan was filed on or after December 5, 2006, must be
51		<u>subje</u>	ect to any growth policy or other adequate public facilities
52		requi	rement adopted before the Planning Board approves that plan.]]
\			

53	Sec.	2. Effective date. This ordinance takes effect on the date of Council
54	adoption.	
55	Sec.	3. Expiration. Section 50-35B, added by Section 1 of this ordinance,
56	expires on A	August 15, 2007. The expiration of Section 50-35B does not restrict:
57	<u>(a)</u>	the applicability of any later-adopted Growth Policy or other adequate
58		public facilities requirement to any preliminary subdivision plan for
59		which an application was filed between January 1, 2007, and August
60		15, 2007; and
61	<u>(b)</u>	the authority of the Planning Board to reopen any preliminary
62		subdivision plan for which an application was filed on or after January
63		1, 2007, to assure that the plan will comply with all applicable Growth
64		Policy and other adequate public facilities requirements.
65	Approved:	
66	,	
67	1	sid (eggt) Feb 8, 2007
	Isiah Legge	ett, County Executive Date
68	This is a co	rrect copy of Council action.
69		
70	Lind	2 M. Lauer 2/9/07
		auer, Clerk of the Council Date